

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/751,073	01/05/2004	Monte D. Mohr	MOHR001	1281	
7590 01/18/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Monte D. Mohr			PRONE, J	ASON D	
4300 McNeil Ro Cameron Park,	=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3724	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			DATE MAILED: 01/18/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(2	•

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/751,073	MOHR, MONTE D.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jason Prone	3724		

	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Jason Prone	3724					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE	THE REPLY FILED 03 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. 🗆	☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
b)	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee							
nave under set for may in NOT	been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exit of Top 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sufficient in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) ICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,				
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed NDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	ecause				
_	(a) They raise new issues that would require further con	nsideration and/or search (see NO						
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo							
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			tne issues for				
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
. –	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.1		maliant Amandment	(DTOL 324)				
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendinent ((F10L-324).				
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
	non-allowable claim(s).							
7. 🖂	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
	Claim(s) objected to:							
	Claim(s) rejected: 4. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
4FFI	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3.	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and				
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).				
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after ef	nitry is below or attact	ieu.				
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
_	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	\wedge				
13. L	Other:		/ -V.	1 1 ()				
		Tirk	othy V. Eley ary Examiner	\mathcal{M}				
)/wiiiiiidi	V \				

Application No. 10/751,073

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new claim incorporates a method and additional structure that was not presented in the original claims or in the amended claims. Newly submitted claim 5 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: The apparatus of the original claim could perform a method featuring a hollowed box type structure instead of the hollow cylinder. The original claims failed to disclose any structure of the apparatus. Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 5 would be withdrawn from consideration, if the amendment were to be enter, as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03..